

Notification by Government under section 4 Act, I, 1894.

No. S.E. Rohtak Circle/PWD/B&R/Br./No. 28-RA/385/4.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, “constructing Mohindergarh Kanina Lukhi Nahar Road to village Lukhi in Mohindergarh District, it is hereby, notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

Sr. No.	District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Remarks
1	Mohindergarh	Mohindergarh	Lukhi	Khasra No. 0.72	Consolidation path No. 128

(Sd.)

Superintending Engineer,
Rohtak Circle, P.W.D., B. & R, Br., Rohtak.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 11th June, 1976

No. 2118-4Lab-76/16625.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Ajay Enterprises, Plot No. 101, Sector-6, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 170 of 1975

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S AJAY ENTERPRISES, PLOT No. 101, SECTOR-6, FARIDABAD

AWARD

By order No. ID/FBD/874-75/67078, dated 6th November, 1975, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Ajay Enterprises, Plot No. 101, Sector-6, Faridabad and its workmen to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

- (1) Whether the grades and scales of pay of the workmen should be fixed ? If so, with what details ?
- (2) Whether the workers should be granted dearness allowance linked with Consumer Price Index Numbers ? If so, with what details and from which date ?

- (3) Whether the workers should be paid bonus for the year 1973-74? If so, with what details?

The parties put in their appearance in this Tribunal in response to the usual notice of reference sent to them.

Shri Dhyan Singh authorised representative for the workmen made a statement withdrawing the demand leading to the reference. It would thus appear that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of the findings made by me.

Dated the 19th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 275, dated the 19th February, 1976.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 19th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 2119-4Lab-76/16627.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Paramount Rubber Industries, 58-B, Industrial Area, N.I.T., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 14 of 1975

between

SHRI RAM KUMAR, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PARAMOUNT RUBBER
INDUSTRIES, 58-B, INDUSTRIAL AREA, N.I.T., FARIDABAD.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/74/1212/2284, dated 15th January, 1975, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Paramount Rubber Industries, 58-B, Industrial Area, N.I.T., Faridabad, and its workman Shri Ram Kumar to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The workman put in his appearance on 26th September, 1975 in this Tribunal in response to the notices of reference sent to him and continued to do so till 15th December, 1975. The management, however, did not appear on 15th December, 1975 despite service of notice of reference with the result that *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against them and the case was adjourned to 16th December, 1975. Neither the workman nor the management appeared on 16th December, 1975 and fresh notices were issued to the workman for his appearance on 10th February, 1976 when he did not appear.

The absence of the parties on the dates of hearing fixed in the case indicated that there was now no dispute between them and the workman was not interested in pursuing the demand leading to the reference.

I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of the findings made above.

Dated the 19th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

842 1002

No. 276, dated the 19th February, 1976.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 19th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 2115-4Lab-76/16629.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Industrial Ceramic Products, 20/4, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 178 of 1975

, between

SHRI RAM KISHAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S INDUSTRIAL
CERAMIC PRODUCTS, 20/4, MATHURA ROAD, BALLABGARH

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/76-A75/69891, dated 2nd December, 1975 the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Industrial Ceramic Products, 20/4, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh and its workman Shri Ram Kishan to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Krishan was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The management put in their appearance in this Tribunal on 21st January, 1976 in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them. The workman however was not found available on the address supplied by him,—*vide* notice of demands leading to the reference, despite repeated attempts being made by the process server to effect service of the notice of reference on him. In absence of availability of another address and in view of the reports made by the process server that the workman could not be found available on the address supplied by him, he was proceeded with *ex parte*,—*vide* my order, dated 12th February, 1976 and *ex parte* statement of Shri A. C. Madan one of the proprietors of the respondent was recorded.

Shri A. C. Madan deposed that the management paid Rs. 400 to the workman,—*vide* voucher Ex: M-1, dated 13th October, 1975, in full and final settlement of his claim after he had submitted his resignation Ex. M-2 duly accepted,—*vide* order, dated 13th October, 1975.

I see no reason to disbelieve the statement of Shri A. C. Madan, particularly when the proceedings against the workman are *ex parte* and he has taken no care to pursue the demand made by him on 1st July, 1975 prior to the receipt of Rs. 400.

I, therefore, while fully relying on the statement of Shri A. C. Madan hold that all claims of the workman under the demand have been satisfied and that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I answer the reference and return the award in term of the findings made by me.

Dated the 13th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 284, dated the 20th February, 1976

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 20th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad..